

The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

STATE ELECTION

LUDLOW

Penalty for willfully defacing, tearing down, removing or destroying a List of Candidates or Specimen Ballot - fine not exceeding One Hundred Dollars.

SECRETARY OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

OFFICIAL SPECIMEN BALLOT Tuesday, November 5, 2024

310

To vote for a candidate, fill in the oval • to the right of the candidate's name. To vote for a person not on the ballot, write the person's name and residence in the blank space provided and fill in the oval.

| ELECTORS OF PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT Vote for one | | CLERK OF COURTS HAMPDEN COUNTY Vote for ONE | | QUESTION 3 Law proposed by |
|--|------------|---|------------|---|
| AYYADURAI and ELLIS ++++++++ Independent | \bigcirc | LAURA S. GENTILE +++++++++++ Democratic 62 North Circle Dr., East Longmeadow Candidate for Re-election | \bigcirc | INITIATIVE PETITION |
| DE LA CRUZ and GARCIA + Socialism and Liberation | \bigcirc | DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. | | Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of |
| HARRIS and WALZ | | | | Representatives before May 1, 2024? |
| OLIVER and TER MAAT ++++++++Libertarian | \bigcirc | WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY | | SUMMARY |
| STEIN and CABALLERO-ROCA + Green-Rainbow Party | | | | The proposed law would provide Transportation Network Drivers ("Drivers") with the right to form |
| | | REGISTER OF DEEDS | | unions ("Driver Organizations") to collectively bargain with Transportation Network Companies |
| TRUMP and VANCE +++++++++++Republican DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. | \bigcirc | HAMPDEN DISTRICT Vote for ONE CHERYL COAKLEY-RIVERA | _ | ("Companies")-which are companies that use a digital network to connect riders to drivers for pre-arranged |
| USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. | | 67 Rowland St., Springfield Candidate for Re-election | \bigcirc | transportation-to create negotiated recommendations |
| WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY | \bigcirc | BOB COLLAMORE ++++++++++++++ Independent 20 Weymouth St., Springfield | \bigcirc | concerning wages, benefits and terms and conditions of work. Drivers would not be required to engage in any |
| | | DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. | | union activities. Companies would be allowed to form multi-Company associations to represent them when |
| | | WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY | \bigcirc | negotiating with Driver Organizations. The state would supervise the labor activities permitted by the proposed |
| | | WHITE-IN STAGE ONE! | | law and would have responsibility for approving or |
| SENATOR IN CONGRESS | | | | disapproving the negotiated recommendations. The proposed law would define certain activities |
| Vote for ONE ELIZABETH ANN WARREN +++++++++ Democratic | | QUESTION 1 | | by a Company or a Driver Organization to be unfair work practices. The proposed law would establish a |
| 24 Linnaean St., Cambridge Candidate for Re-election JOHN DEATON +++++++++++++++++Republican | \circ | LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE | | hearing process for the state Employment Relations Board ("Board") to follow when a Company or Driver |
| 8 Mohill Ave., Swansea | \bigcirc | PETITION | | Organization is charged with an unfair work practice. |
| DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. Use blank line below for write-in. | | Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of | | The proposed law would permit the Board to take action, including awarding compensation to adversely |
| WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY | \bigcirc | Representatives before May 1, 2024? | | affected Drivers, if it found that an unfair work practice had been committed. The proposed law would provide |
| E WOOTOE OIL | | SUMMARY This proposed law would specify that the State | | for an appeal of a Board decision to the state Appeals |
| | | Auditor has the authority to audit the Legislature. | | Court. This proposed law also would establish a |
| REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS FIRST DISTRICT Vote for ONE | | A YES VOTE would specify that the State Auditor has the authority to audit the Legislature. | | procedure for determining which Drivers are Active Drivers, meaning that they completed more than the |
| FIRST DISTRICT Vote for ONE RICHARD E. NEAL ++++++++++++ Democratic | | A NO VOTE would make no change in the law | | median number of rides in the previous six months. The proposed law would establish procedures for |
| 36 Atwater Ter., Springfield Candidate for Re-election | | relative to the State Auditor's authority. | | the Board to determine that a Driver Organization has |
| NADIA DONYA MILLERON ++ Independent/Unaffiliated 615 Boardman St., Sheffield | \bigcirc | YES | \cup | signed authorizations from at least five percent of Active Drivers, entitling the Driver Organization to a list |
| DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. Use blank line below for write-in. | | NO | \bigcirc | of Active Drivers; to designate a Driver Organization as the exclusive bargaining representative for all Drivers |
| WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY | \bigcirc | | | based on signed authorizations from at least twenty- five percent of Active Drivers; to resolve disputes |
| | | QUESTION 2 Law proposed by initiative | | over exclusive bargaining status, including through |
| | | PETITION | | elections; and to decertify a Driver Organization from exclusive bargaining status. A Driver Organization |
| COUNCILLOR Vote for ONE | | Do you approve of a law summarized below, on | | that has been designated the exclusive bargaining representative would have the exclusive right to |
| EIGHTH DISTRICT Vote for ONE TARA J. JACOBS | \bigcirc | which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 1, 2024? | | represent the Drivers and to receive voluntary membership dues deductions. |
| 35 East Quincy St., North Adams Candidate for Re-election DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. | \cup | SUMMARY | | Once the Board determined that a Driver |
| USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. | _ | This proposed law would eliminate the requirement that a student pass the Massachusetts Comprehensive | | Organization was the exclusive bargaining representative for all Drivers, the Companies would |
| WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY | \bigcirc | Assessment System (MCAS) tests (or other statewide | | be required to bargain with that Driver Organization concerning wages, benefits and terms and conditions |
| | | or district-wide assessments) in mathematics, science and technology, and English in order to receive a high | | of work. Once the Driver Organization and Companies reached agreement on wages, benefits, and the |
| | | school diploma. Instead, in order for a student to receive a high school diploma, the proposed law would | | terms and conditions of work, that agreement would |
| SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT HAMPSHIRE & WORCESTER DISTRICT VOICE FOR ONE | | require the student to complete coursework certified by | | be voted upon by all Drivers who has completed at least 100 trips the previous quarter. If approved by a |
| JACOB R. OLIVEIRA ++++++++++ Democratic | \bigcirc | the student's district as demonstrating mastery of the competencies contained in the state academic standards | | majority of votes cast, the recommendations would be submitted to the state Secretary of Labor for approval |
| 616 East St., Ludlow Candidate for Re-election DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. | | in mathematics, science and technology, and English, as well as any additional areas determined by the Board of | | and if approved, would be effective for three years. |
| USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. | | Elementary and Secondary Education. | | The proposed law would establish procedures for the mediation and arbitration if the Driver Organization and |
| WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY | \bigcirc | A YES VOTE would eliminate the requirement that | | Companies failed to reach agreement within a certain period of time. An arbitrator would consider factors set |
| | | students pass the Massachusetts Comprehensive Assessment System (MCAS) in order to graduate | | forth in the proposed law, including whether the wages of Drivers would be enough so that Drivers would not |
| | | high school but still require students to complete coursework that meets state standards. | | need to rely upon any public benefits. The proposed law |
| REPRESENTATIVE IN GENERAL COURT SEVENTH HAMPDEN DISTRICT VOICE FOR ONE | | A NO VOTE would make no change in the law | | also sets out procedures for the Secretary of Labor's review and approval of recommendations negotiated |
| AARON L. SAUNDERS +++++++++++ Democratic 716 Franklin St., Belchertown Candidate for Re-election | \bigcirc | relative to the requirement that a student pass the MCAS in order to graduate high school. | | by a Driver Organization and the Companies and for judicial review of the Secretary's decision. |
| DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. | - | YES | | The proposed law states that neither its provisions, an agreement nor a determination by the |
| USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. | \bigcirc | NO | _ | Secretary would be able to lessen labor standards |
| WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY | \cup | | | established by other laws. If there were any conflict between the proposed law and existing Massachusetts |
| | | | | labor relations law, the proposed law would prevail. The Board would make rules and regulations as |
| | | | | appropriate to effectuate the proposed law. |
| | | | | The proposed law states that, if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in |
| | | | | effect. |
| | | | | |
| | | | | A YES VOTE would provide transportation network |
| | | | | A YES VOTE would provide transportation network drivers the option to form unions to collectively bargain with transportation network companies regarding |

A NO VOTE would make no change in the law relative to the ability of transportation network drivers to form unions. **YES**

CONTINUE ON BACK VOTE BOTH SIDES

 $NO \bigcirc$

QUESTION 4 LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 1, 2024?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would allow persons aged 21 and older to grow, possess, and use certain natural psychedelic substances in certain circumstances. The psychedelic substances allowed would be two substances found in mushrooms (psilocybin and psilocyn) and three substances found in plants (dimethyltryptamine, mescaline, and ibogaine). These substances could be purchased at an approved location for use under the supervision of a licensed facilitator. This proposed law would otherwise prohibit any retail sale of natural psychedelic substances. This proposed law would also provide for the regulation and taxation of these psychedelic substances.

This proposed law would license and regulate facilities offering supervised use of these psychedelic substances and provide for the taxation of proceeds from those facilities' sales of psychedelic substances. It would also allow persons aged 21 and older to grow these psychedelic substances in a 12-foot by 12-foot area at their home and use these psychedelic substances at their home. This proposed law would authorize persons aged 21 or older to possess up to one gram of psilocybin, one gram of psilocyn, one gram of dimethyltryptamine, 18 grams of mescaline, and 30 grams of ibogaine ("personal use amount"), in addition to whatever they might grow at their home, and to give away up to the personal use amount to a person aged 21 or over.

This proposed law would create a Natural Psychedelic Substances Commission of five members appointed by the Governor, Attorney General, and Treasurer which would administer the law governing the use and distribution of these psychedelic substances. The Commission would adopt regulations governing licensing qualifications, security, recordkeeping, education and training, health and safety requirements, testing, and age verification. This proposed law would also create a Natural Psychedelic Substances Advisory Board of 20 members appointed by the Governor, Attorney General, and Treasurer which would study and make recommendations to the Commission on the regulation and taxation of these psychedelic substances.

This proposed law would allow cities and towns to reasonably restrict the time, place, and manner of the operation of licensed facilities offering psychedelic substances, but cities and towns could not ban those facilities or their provision of these substances.

The proceeds of sales of psychedelic substances at licensed facilities would be subject to the state sales tax and an additional excise tax of 15 percent. In addition, a city or town could impose a separate tax of up to two percent. Revenue received from the additional state excise tax, license application fees, and civil penalties for violations of this proposed law would be deposited in a Natural Psychedelic Substances Regulation Fund and would be used, subject to appropriation, for administration of this proposed law.

Using the psychedelic substances as permitted by this proposed law could not be a basis to deny a person medical care or public assistance, impose discipline by a professional licensing board, or enter adverse orders in child custody cases absent clear and convincing evidence that the activities created an unreasonable danger to the safety of a minor child.

This proposed law would not affect existing laws regarding the operation of motor vehicles while under the influence, or the ability of employers to enforce workplace policies restricting the consumption of these psychedelic substances by employees. This proposed law would allow property owners to prohibit the use, display, growing, processing, or sale of these psychedelic substances on their premises. State and local governments could continue to restrict the possession and use of these psychedelic substances in public buildings or at schools.

This proposed law would take effect on December 15, 2024.

A YES VOTE would allow persons over age 21 to use certain natural psychedelic substances under licensed supervision and to grow and possess limited quantities of those substances in their home, and would create a commission to regulate those substances.

A NO VOTE would make no change in the law regarding natural psychedelic substances

YES \bigcirc

 $NO \bigcirc$

QUESTION 5

LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 1, 2024?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would gradually increase the minimum hourly wage an employer must pay a tipped worker, over the course of five years, on the following schedule:

- To 64% of the state minimum wage on January 1, 2025;
- To 73% of the state minimum wage on January 1, 2026;
- To 82% of the state minimum wage on January 1, 2027;
- To 91% of the state minimum wage on January 1, 2028; and
- To 100% of the state minimum wage on January 1, 2029.

The proposed law would require employers to continue to pay tipped workers the difference between the state minimum wage and the total amount a tipped worker receives in hourly wages plus tips through the end of 2028. The proposed law would also permit employers to calculate this difference over the entire weekly or bi-weekly payroll period. The requirement to pay this difference would cease when the required hourly wage for tipped workers would become 100% of the state minimum wage on January 1, 2029.

Under the proposed law, if an employer pays its workers an hourly wage that is at least the state minimum wage, the employer would be permitted to administer a "tip pool" that combines all the tips given by customers to tipped workers and distributes them among all the workers, including non-tipped workers.

A YES VOTE would increase the minimum hourly wage an employer must pay a tipped worker to the full state minimum wage implemented over five years,

at which point employers could pool all tips and distribute them to all non-management workers.

YES \bigcirc

A NO VOTE would make no change in the law governing tip pooling or the minimum wage for tipped workers.

 $NO \bigcirc$